arXiv:1607.06611v2 [math.DG] 8 Oct 2016

SUPERCONNECTIONS AND A FINSLERIAN GAUSS-BONNET-CHERN FORMULA

HUITAO FENG[1] AND MING LI[2]

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain a Finslerian Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula for an even dimensional closed Finsler manifold (M, F) by using a Mathai-Quillen type formula of Feng and Zhang in [9] on the Euler characteristic. As an application, we will deduce a simple Finslerian Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula for closed Berwald spaces. Moreover, by using the geometric localization procedure, we also obtain a Bao-Chern type Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula for closed Berwald spaces.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Superconnections and the Euler characteristic 3
1.1. Superspaces and superconnections 4
1.2. A Mathai-Quillen type formula on the Euler characteristic 5
2. A Finslerian Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula 8
2.1. A brief review of Finsler geometry 8
2.2. A Finslerian Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula 9
3. A special case: Berwald space 17
3.1. A Finslerian GBC-formula for Berwald spaces 17
3.2. A Bao-Chern type GBC-formulae for Berwald spaces 18
References 24

Introduction

In the celebrated paper [5], S. S. Chern presented a simple and intrinsic proof of the following famous Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula (also GBC-formula in short) for a closed and oriented Riemannian manifold (M, g^{TM}) of dimension $2n$:

$$\chi(M) = \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_M \mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM}), \tag{0.1}$$

where the Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM})$ is a well-defined $2n$-form on M constructed from the curvature R^{TM} of the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TM} associated to the Riemannian metric g^{TM}. With

[1] Partially supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11221091, 11271062, 11571184).
[2] Partially supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11501067, 11571184) and Visiting Scholar Program at CIM.

respect to any oriented orthonormal (local) frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n}\}$ for TM,

$$\mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM}) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2n}=1}^{2n} \epsilon_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2n}} \Omega^{a_2}_{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega^{a_{2n}}_{a_{2n-1}}, \tag{0.2}$$

where

$$\Omega^b_a := g^{TM}(R^{TM} e_a, e_b). \tag{0.3}$$

Chern's formula (0.1) expresses the Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$ by the integration of the purely geometric differential form $\mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM})$ on M and initials the geometric theory of characteristic classes–Chern-Weil theory, which plays a very important role in the study of modern geometry and topology. The key point in Chern's proof is his significant transgression formula

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \pi^* \mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM}) = -d^{SM}\Pi$$

on the unit sphere bundle $\pi : SM \to M$, where the transgression form Π lives on SM. Then for any vector field X on M with the isolated zero set $Z(X)$, let $[X]$ denote the normalizing of X on $M \setminus Z(X)$. Also for any $\epsilon > 0$, let $Z_\epsilon(X)$ denote the ϵ-neighborhood of the zero set $Z(X)$ in M, and set $M_\epsilon = M \setminus Z_\epsilon(X)$. By using the above transgression formula, Chern got the following equality over M_ϵ,

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM}) = \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n [X]^* \pi^* \mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM}) = -[X]^* d^{SM}\Pi = -d^M [X]^* \Pi.$$

With the help of the Poincaré-Hopf theorem and noticed that the tangent unit spheres of M have the constant volume, Chern obtained his formula (0.1) by computing the following integral

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_M \mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM}) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\partial Z_\epsilon(X)} [X]^* \Pi.$$

After Chern's work, many people try to generalize Chern's formula (0.1) to the Finsler setting. Inspired by Chern's work, Lichnerowicz [11] first established a GBC-formula for some special Finsler manifolds by using the Cartan connection ∇^{Car} on π^*TM. Realized that almost all Finsler geometric quantities live actually on the unit sphere bundle SM, Lichnerowicz constructed an analogue differential $2n$-form $\mathrm{Pf}(R^{\mathrm{Car}})$ on SM and proved the following transgression formula

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \mathrm{Pf}(R^{\mathrm{Car}}) = -d^{SM}\Pi^{\mathrm{Car}}$$

for some $(2n-1)$-form Π^{Car} on SM, where R^{Car} denotes the curvature of the Cartan connection on π^*TM. Following Chern's strategy, Lichnerowicz also proceeded the computations

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_M [X]^* \mathrm{Pf}(R^{\mathrm{Car}}) := \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{M_\epsilon} [X]^* \mathrm{Pf}(R^{\mathrm{Car}}) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\partial Z_\epsilon(X)} [X]^* \Pi^{\mathrm{Car}}.$$

To get the desired Euler number $\chi(M)$ from the above computations, Lichnerowicz had to assume that the space (M, F) should be a Cartan-Berwald space and all Finsler unit spheres $S_xM = \{Y \in T_xM | F(Y) = 1\}$ should have the same volume as a Euclidean unit sphere. Note that the later assumption holds automatically for all Cartan-Berwald spaces of dimension larger than 2. Moreover, as mentioned by D. Bao and Z. Shen in [3] (also in [15]), when the Finsler metric is reversible, then by a theorem of Brickell, any Cartan-Berwald space of dimension larger than 2 must be Riemannian.

Around fifty years later, Bao and Chern [1] dropped the assumption of the Cartan-Berwald condition of Lichnerowicz by using the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} proposed in [6] and established the following GBC-formula for all $2n$-dimensional oriented and closed Finsler manifolds with the constant volume of Finsler unit spheres:

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_M [X]^*[\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}) + \mathcal{F}] = \chi(M)\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{Finsler}S^{2n-1})}{\mathrm{Vol}(S^{2n-1})} \tag{0.4}$$

by proving the under transgression formula

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \Big[\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}) + \mathcal{F}\Big] = -d^{SM}\Pi^{\mathrm{Ch}},$$

where $\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}$ is the skew-symmetrization of the curvature R^{Ch} of the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} with respect to the fundamental tensor g_F of F, $\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}})$ is the Pfaffian of $\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}$, $\mathcal{F}$ is a correction term and Π^{Ch} is the associated transgression form (cf. [1] for details).

Note that the constant volume condition in Bao-Chern's formula (0.4) is a much strong assumption for a Finsler manifold. To avoid this defect, following Bao-Chern's approach, Lackey [10] and Z. Shen [16] modified the GBC-integrand terms independently by using the unit sphere volume function $V(x) = \mathrm{Vol}(S_xM)$ and obtained some new types of GBC-formulae via the Chern and Cartan connections respectively, for all oriented and closed Finsler manifolds. In [18], Zhao also got a similar formula as Z. Shen's one in [16] in a different way.

However, a striking difference from the Chern's formula (0.1) for Riemannian manifolds, the above-mentioned generalizations in the Finsler setting had to make use of an extra vector field X on M in their GBC-integrands. As a result, all these GBC-formulae look not so intrinsic in the spirit of Chern's original formula (0.1). In [14], Y. Shen asked explicitly whether there is a Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula for general Finsler manifolds without using any vector fields.

In this paper, by using a Mathai-Quillen type formula of Feng and Zhang on the Euler characteristic in [9], we obtain a Finslerian Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula. In particular, an explicit GBC-type integrand on M, expressed in the integration along fibres of $\pi : SM \to M$, is given.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we first introduce briefly the Mathai-Quillen's superconnection formalism (cf. [13, 12]) for reader's convenience, and then recall the Mathai-Quillen type formula of Feng and Zhang on the Euler characteristic and prove a slight generalization of it. In Section 2, we work out the main results Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in this paper. As an application, we investigate some special Finsler manifolds–Berwald spaces in Section 3. By the special curvature property of Berwald spaces, our Finslerian GBC-formula reduces to a simple and elegant form, and from which Chern's GBC-formula is deduced easily. Moreover, inspired by an idea from Weiping Zhang, we also obtain a Bao-Chern type GBC-formulae for Berwald spaces by proceeding a geometric localization procedure.

Acknowledgments The authors thanks Professor Weiping Zhang for his generous for sharing his ideas on this problem with us and the encouragement.

1. Superconnections and the Euler characteristic

We first review some basic definitions and notations on superspaces and superconnections (cf. [13, 12] and also [4], [17], [19] for more details). Then we recall the Mathai-Quillen type formula of Feng and Zhang on the Euler characteristic in [9] and prove a slight generalization of it.

1.1. **Superspaces and superconnections.** A super vector space E is a vector space with a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-grading $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$. Let $\tau_E \in \mathrm{End}(E)$ such that $\tau_E|_{E_\pm} = \pm 1$. Then for any $B \in \mathrm{End}(E)$, the supertrace $\mathrm{tr}_s[B]$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{tr}_s[B] = \mathrm{tr}[\tau_E B]. \tag{1.1}$$

An element B in $\mathrm{End}(E)$ is even (resp. odd) if $B(E_\pm) \subset E_\pm$ (resp. $B(E_\pm) \subset E_\mp$) and the degree $|B|$ of B is defined to be 0/1 if B is even/odd. The bracket operation in $\mathrm{End}(E)$ for a superspace E always refers to the superbracket

$$[B_1, B_2] = B_1 B_2 - (-1)^{|B_1||B_2|} B_2 B_1,$$

for any $B_1, B_2 \in \mathrm{End}(E)$. One has

$$\mathrm{tr}_s[B_1, B_2] = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

As an example, for any vector space V of dimension m, the exterior algebra $\Lambda^*(V^*)$ generated by V is a superspace with the natural even/odd $\mathbf{Z}_2$-grading:

$$\Lambda^*(V^*) = \Lambda^{\mathrm{even}}(V^*) \oplus \Lambda^{\mathrm{odd}}(V^*). \tag{1.3}$$

For any $B \in \mathrm{End}(V)$, the lifting $B^\natural$ of B is a derivative acting on $\Lambda^*(V^*)$, that is, $B^\natural$ is linear, and for any k and $v^{*,1}, \cdots, v^{*,k} \in V^*$,

$$B^\natural(v^{*,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v^{*,k}) := \sum_l v^{*,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge (B^* v^{*,l}) \wedge \cdots \wedge v^{*,k}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $(B^* v^*)(v) := -v^*(Bv)$ for any $v \in V$ and $v^* \in V^*$.

Let $\{v_1, \cdots, v_m\}$ be any basis of V and let $\{v^{*,1}, \cdots, v^{*,m}\}$ be its dual basis for V^*. Set $Bv_i = B_i^j v_j$. Then

$$B^\natural = -\sum_{i,j} B_i^j v^{*,i} \wedge i_{v_j}, \tag{1.5}$$

where i_v is the interior multiplication on $\Lambda^*(V^*)$ induced by $v \in V$. One verifies easily that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{tr}_s[v^{*,1} \wedge i_{v_1} \cdots v^{*,m} \wedge i_{v_m}] = (-1)^m, \\ &\mathrm{tr}_s[v^{*,i_1} \wedge \cdots v^{*,i_k} \wedge i_{v_{j_1}} \cdots i_{v_{j_l}}] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

for any $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le m$ and $1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_l \le m$ with $0 \le k + l < 2m$.

Given a Euclidean metric g^V on V. For any $v \in V$, let v^* be the metric dual of v, and set

$$c(v) = v^* \wedge -i_v, \quad \hat{c}(v) = v^* \wedge +i_v. \tag{1.7}$$

The for any $u, v \in V$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &c(u)c(v) + c(v)c(u) = -2g^V(u, v), \\ &\hat{c}(u)\hat{c}(v) + \hat{c}(v)\hat{c}(u) = 2g^V(u, v), \\ &c(u)\hat{c}(v) = -\hat{c}(v)c(u). \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

Also from (1.6) and (1.7), one gets for any orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \cdots, v_m\}$ of V,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{tr}_s[\hat{c}(v_1)c(v_1) \cdots \hat{c}(v_m)c(v_m)] = 2^m, \\ &\mathrm{tr}_s[\hat{c}(v_{i_1}) \cdots \hat{c}(v_{i_k})c(v_{j_1}) \cdots c(v_{j_l})] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

for any $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le m$ and $1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_l \le m$ with $0 \le k + l < 2m$.

A super vector bundle $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$ over a smooth manifold M is a vector bundle with fibres of super vector spaces. Let $\Omega^*(M, E) = \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*M)\hat{\otimes}E)$, which is, in general, an infinite dimensional super vector space with the natural total $\mathbf{Z}_2$-grading. A superconnection $\mathbf{A}$ on E is an odd-parity first-order differential operator

$$\mathbf{A} : \Omega^{\pm}(M, E) \to \Omega^{\mp}(M, E)$$

verifying the following Leibniz rule: for any $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$, $s \in \Omega^*(M, E)$,

$$\mathbf{A}(\omega \wedge s) = d\omega \wedge s + (-1)^k \omega \wedge \mathbf{A}s. \tag{1.10}$$

The following two simple identities are crucial in the Chern-Weil theory related to the Mathai-Quillen's superconnection formalism:

$$[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^2] = 0, \quad \mathrm{tr}_s[\mathbf{A}, B] = d\mathrm{tr}_s[B] \tag{1.11}$$

for any superconnection $\mathbf{A}$ on E and any $B \in \Omega^*(M, \mathrm{End}(E))$.

1.2. **A Mathai-Quillen type formula on the Euler characteristic.** For an oriented and closed manifold M of dimension $2n$, different from the Chern's construction of the Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM})$ from a metric-preserving connection on the tangent bundle $\pi : TM \to M$, Feng and Zhang in [9] expressed the Euler number $\chi(M)$ through the integral over TM of an integrable top-form on TM constructed from any connection ∇ on TM by applying the Mathai-Quillen's geometric construction of the Thom class (cf. [12]) to the exterior algebra bundle $\pi^*\Lambda^*(T^*M)$.

Let ∇^{TM} be any connection on TM. Then it induces a connection $\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}$ on the exterior algebra bundle $\Lambda^*(T^*M)$, which preserves the even/odd $\mathbf{Z}_2$-grading in $\Lambda^*(T^*M)$. Let $\hat{Y}$ denote the tautological section of the pull-back bundle π^*TM:

$$\hat{Y}(x, Y) := Y \in (\pi^*TM)|_{(x,Y)}, \tag{1.12}$$

where $(x, Y) \in TM$ with $x \in M$ and $Y \in T_xM$. For any given Euclidean metric g^{TM} on TM, let $\hat{Y}^*$ denote the dual of $\hat{Y}$ with respect to the pull-back metric π^*g^{TM} on π^*TM. Then the Clifford action $c(\hat{Y}) = \hat{Y}^* \wedge -i_{\hat{Y}}$ acts on $\pi^*\Lambda^*(T^*M)$ and exchanges the even/odd grading in $\pi^*\Lambda^*(T^*M)$. Moreover,

$$c(\hat{Y})^2 = -|\hat{Y}|^2_{\pi^*g^{TM}}. \tag{1.13}$$

For any $T > 0$, Feng and Zhang in [9] used the superconnection

$$A_T = \pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)} + Tc(\hat{Y}) \tag{1.14}$$

on the bundle $\pi^*\Lambda^*(T^*M)$ and proved the following Mathai-Quillen type formula on the Euler number $\chi(M)$:

$$\chi(M) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{2n} \int_{TM} \mathrm{tr}_s[\exp(A_T^2)]. \tag{1.15}$$

A key point in the formula (1.15) is that the connection ∇^{TM} on TM needn't preserve the metric g^{TM} used to define the Clifford action $c(\hat{Y})$.

For the purpose of this paper, we need to generalize the formula (1.15) slightly. Actually, one can choose any connection ∇ and any Euclidean metric g on the pull-back bundle π^*TM to define a superconnection on $\pi^*\Lambda^*(T^*M) \equiv \Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)$: let $\nabla^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}$ denote the lifting

of the connection ∇ on $\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)$; let $\hat{Y}_g^*$ denote the dual of $\hat{Y}$ with respect to the metric g and set $c_g(\hat{Y}) = \hat{Y}_g^* \wedge -i_{\hat{Y}}$; then for any $T > 0$,

$$\tilde{A}_T = \nabla^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)} + Tc_g(\hat{Y}) \tag{1.16}$$

is also a superconnection on $\pi^*\Lambda^*(T^*M)$. Moreover, by using (1.15) and a transgression argument, one can prove the following slight generalization of (1.15) easily.

Lemma 1. *Let M be a closed and oriented manifold of dimension $2n$. Then for any connection ∇ and any Euclidean metric g on π^*TM, if the curvature $R = \nabla^2$ is bounded along fibres of TM, then the following formula holds for any $T > 0$:*

$$\chi(M) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{2n} \int_{TM} \mathrm{tr}_s[\exp(\tilde{A}_T^2)]. \tag{1.17}$$

Proof. Here we would like to give a direct proof of (1.17).

We first check the formula (1.17) for $g = \pi^*g^{TM}$ and $\nabla = \pi^*\nabla^{TM}$, where ∇^{TM} is the Levi-Civita connection on TM associated to the Riemannian metric g^{TM} on M. Let $\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}$ denote the lifting of ∇^{TM} on the exterior algebra bundle $\Lambda^*(T^*M)$ and let $R^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}$ be its curvature. So the superconnection defined by (1.16) becomes

$$A_T = \pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)} + Tc(\hat{Y}), \tag{1.18}$$

and

$$A_T^2 = \left(\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)} + Tc(\hat{Y})\right)^2 = \pi^*R^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)} + Tc\left(\pi^*\nabla^{TM}\hat{Y}\right) - T^2|Y|^2. \tag{1.19}$$

Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n}\}$ be a local oriented orthonormal frame for TM and let $\{e^{*,1}, \ldots, e^{*,2n}\}$ denote the dual frame for T^*M. Then by (0.3) and (1.7), one has

$$\pi^*R^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)} = -\sum_{a,b}(\pi^*\Omega_b^a)e^{*,b} \wedge i_{e_a} = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{a,b}(\pi^*\Omega_b^a)(\hat{c}(e_b) + c(e_b))(\hat{c}(e_a) - c(e_a)). \tag{1.20}$$

We now compute $\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(A_T^2)\right]$ fiberwisely. For the simplicity of computations, we choose a local oriented orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n}\}$ around each $x \in M$ such that $(\nabla^{TM}e_a)(x) = 0$, $a = 1, \cdots, 2n$. Moreover, we write $Y = \sum_a y^a e_a$ around x. Then from (1.19), (1.20), (1.8), (1.9), (0.2) and the degree counting of differential forms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{T_xM} \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(A_T^2)\right] = \int_{T_xM} e^{-T^2|Y|^2}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(\pi^*R^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)} + Tc(\pi^*\nabla^{TM}\hat{Y})\right)\right] \\
&= \int_{T_xM} e^{-T^2|Y|^2}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\pi^*\Omega_b^a(x)(\hat{c}(e_b) + c(e_b))(\hat{c}(e_a) - c(e_a)) + Tdy^a c(e_a)\right)\right] \\
&= \int_{T_xM} e^{-T^2|Y|^2}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\pi^*\Omega_b^a(x)\hat{c}(e_b)\hat{c}(e_a)\right)\exp\left(Tdy^a c(e_a)\right)\right] \\
&= \int_{T_xM} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n}e^{-T^2|Y|^2}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\frac{1}{2^n n!}\left(\sum_{a,b}\pi^*\Omega_b^a(x)\hat{c}(e_b)\hat{c}(e_a)\right)^n \prod_{a=1}^{2n}(1 + Tdy^a c(e_a))\right] \\
&= \int_{T_xM} \frac{(-1)^n T^{2n}}{2^n}e^{-T^2|Y|^2}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\pi^*\mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM})(x)\hat{c}(e_1)\cdots\hat{c}(e_{2n})\prod_{a=1}^{2n}dy^a c(e_a)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)^n \int_{T_xM} T^{2n} e^{-T^2|Y|^2} \pi^* \mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM})(x) \wedge dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{2n} \mathrm{tr}_s \left[\hat{c}(e_1)c(e_1)\cdots\hat{c}(e_{2n})c(e_{2n})\right]$$
$$= (-2)^n \mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM})(x) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} T^{2n} e^{-T^2 \sum_a (y^a)^2} dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{2n} = (-2\pi)^n \mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM})(x).$$

Therefore, by Chern's formula (0.1), the formula (1.17) holds in the current case.

Now we prove the formula (1.17) for any metric g and any connection ∇ on the pull-back bundle π^*TM with the bounded curvature $R = \nabla^2$ along fibres of TM. For any $T > 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, set

$$\omega_T = \tilde{A}_T - A_T = \nabla^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)} - \pi^* \nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)} + T(\hat{Y}_g^* - \hat{Y}^*) \wedge, \tag{1.21}$$

$$A_{T,t} = t\tilde{A}_T + (1-t)A_T = \pi^* \nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)} + t\omega_T + Tc(\hat{Y}), \tag{1.22}$$

where the superconnections $\tilde{A}_T$ and A_T are defined by (1.16) and (1.18), respectively. Moreover,

(1.23)
$$A_{T,t}^2 = \left(\pi^* \nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}\right)^2 + \left([\pi^* \nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}, t\omega_T + Tc(\hat{Y})] + tT[\omega_T, c(\hat{Y})] + t^2\omega_T^2\right) - T^2|\hat{Y}|_g^2.$$

We have by using (1.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right] = \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\left(\frac{d}{dt}A_{T,t}^2\right)\exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right] \\
=&\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\left[A_{T,t}, \frac{d}{dt}A_{T,t}\right]\exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right] = \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\left[A_{T,t}, \omega_T \exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right]\right] \\
=&d^{TM}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\omega_T \exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(\tilde{A}_T^2)\right] - \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(A_T^2)\right] = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right] dt \\
&= \int_0^1 d^{TM}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\omega_T \exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right] dt = d^{TM}\int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\omega_T \exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right] dt.
\end{aligned}$$

From (1.21) and (1.22) and the curvature $R = \nabla^2$ is bounded along fibres of TM, one verifies easily from (1.23) that $\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\omega_T \exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right]$ is exponentially decay along fibres of $\pi : TM \to M$, and so $\int_{TM/M}\int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\omega_T \exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right] dt$ is a well-defined differential form on M. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{TM} \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(\tilde{A}_T^2)\right] - \int_{TM} \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(A_T^2)\right] = \int_{TM} d^{TM}\int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\omega_T \exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right] dt \\
=&\int_M \int_{TM/M} d^{TM}\int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\omega_T \exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right] dt = \int_M d^M \int_{TM/M}\int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\omega_T \exp(A_{T,t}^2)\right] dt \\
=&0,
\end{aligned}$$

and from which the lemma follows. □

2. A Finslerian Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula

In this section, after a brief review of some basic definitions and notations of Finsler geometry used in this paper (cf. [2], [8] for more details), we will give a Finslerian Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula for a closed and oriented Finsler manifold (M, F) of dimension $2n$ by Lemma 1. In particular, we get a well-defined GBC-type integrand on M through the integration along the fibers.

2.1. **A brief review of Finsler geometry.** Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension m. For any local coordinate chart $(U; (x^1, \ldots, x^m))$ on M, let $(\pi^{-1}(U); (x^1, \ldots, x^m, y^1, \ldots, y^m))$ be the induced local coordinate chart on the total space TM of the tangent bundle $\pi : TM \to M$. Let O denote the zero section of TM and set $TM_o = TM \setminus O$.

To distinguish elements in $\pi^*\Lambda^*(T^*M)$ and π^*TM from $\Lambda^*(T^*M)$ and TM, we will decorate the elements in $\pi^*\Lambda^*(T^*M)$ and π^*TM with a $\hat{\cdot}$ notation for clarity. We will also use the summation convention of Einstein in computations to simplify the notations.

A Finsler metric F on M is a non-negative smooth function on TM_o satisfying that the positively homogeneity $F(x, \lambda Y) = \lambda F(x, Y)$ for any $\lambda > 0$ and the induced fundamental tensor

$$g_F = \frac{1}{2}[F^2]_{y^i y^j} d\hat{x}^i \otimes d\hat{x}^j$$

defines a Euclidean structure on the pull-back bundle $\pi^*TM \to TM_o$. Set

$$G^i = \frac{1}{4} g^{il} \left\{ [F^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - [F^2]_{x^l} \right\}, \quad N^i_j = \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j},$$

and define

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - N^j_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \quad \delta y^i = dy^i + N^i_j dx^j.$$

Let ∇^{Ch} denote the Chern connection on the pull-back bundle $\pi^*TM \to TM_o$. With respect to the pull-back frame $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^i}\}$ for π^*TM, set

$$\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^j} = \varpi^i_j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^i}. \tag{2.1}$$

It is well-known that $\varpi := (\varpi^i_j)$ is determined uniquely by the following structure equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= dx^j \wedge \varpi^i_j; \\ dg_{ij} &= g_{ik}\varpi^k_j + g_{jk}\varpi^k_i + 2A_{ijk}\frac{\delta y^k}{F}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $A_{ijk} = \frac{F}{4}[F^2]_{y^i y^j y^k}$ is the Cartan tensor. The first and the second equation of (2.2) are often refereed as the torsion-free and the almost metric-preserving conditions of the Chern connection, respectively. A direct consequence of the torsion free condition is that ϖ^i_j are horizontal one forms on TM_o, which can be written as:

$$\varpi^i_j = \Gamma^i_{jk} dx^k, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{kj}. \tag{2.3}$$

Furthermore, one has $y^k \Gamma^i_{jk} = N^i_j$ and then

$$\delta y^i = dy^i + y^k \varpi^i_k. \tag{2.4}$$

One the other hand, the almost metric-preserving condition implies that

$$\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}(\hat{Y}^*_{g_F}) = (\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\hat{Y})^*_{g_F}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}$ is the dual connection on π^*T^*M of the Chern connection.

Let $R^{\mathrm{Ch}} = (\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}})^2$ be the curvature of the Chern connection ∇^{Ch}, which is an $\mathrm{End}(\pi^*TM)$-valued two-form on TM_o. By the torsion-freeness of the Chern connection, R^{Ch} is divided into two parts

$$R^{\mathrm{Ch}} = R + P,$$

where R is called the Chern-Riemann curvature of ∇^{Ch}, which is an $\mathrm{End}(\pi^*TM)$-valued horizontal two-form on TM_o, and P is called the Chern-Minkowski curvature of ∇^{Ch}, which is an $\mathrm{End}(\pi^*TM)$-valued horizontal-vertical two-form on TM_o. Set

$$R\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^j} = R^i_j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^i}, \quad P\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^j} = P^i_j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^i}. \tag{2.6}$$

By (2.3), a direct computation shows that (cf. (3.2.2), (3.3.3) in [2])

$$P^i_j = P_j{}^i{}_{kl} dx^k \wedge \frac{\delta y^l}{F} = -dx^k \wedge \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{jk}}{\partial y^l}\delta y^l\right). \tag{2.7}$$

Sometimes, it is more convenient to use the special g_F-orthonormal local frame $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{2n}\}$ of π^*TM, which is orthonormal with respect to g_F and satisfies $e_{2n} = \widehat{Y}/F$.

Set

$$\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}} e_a = \omega^b_a \otimes e_b, \quad R^{\mathrm{Ch}} e_a = \Omega^b_a \otimes e_b = (R^b_a + P^b_a) \otimes e_b. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}$ be the skew-symmetrization of R^{Ch} with respect to g_F, then

$$\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}} e_a = \sum_b \frac{1}{2}(\Omega^b_a - \Omega^a_b) \otimes e_b =: \widehat{\Omega}^b_a \otimes e_b. \tag{2.9}$$

The Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}})$ of $\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}) =& \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2n}=1}^{2n} \epsilon_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2n}} \widehat{\Omega}^{a_2}_{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\Omega}^{a_{2n}}_{a_{2n-1}} \\ =& \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2n}=1}^{2n} \epsilon_{a_1,\ldots,a_{2n}} \Omega^{a_2}_{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega^{a_{2n}}_{a_{2n-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Noticed that the Finsler metric F on TM_o is homogeneous of degree one, all the geometric data, such as ∇^{Ch}, R^{Ch}, R and P, can be reduced naturally onto SM. In this paper, we will use the same notations to denote their reductions on SM.

2.2. **A Finslerian Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula.** Set for any $r > 0$,

$$D_r M = \{Y \in TM | F(Y) \leq r\}.$$

Let ρ be a non-negative smooth function on TM with $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ and $\rho(Y) \equiv 1$ for $F(Y) \leq 1/4$ and $\rho(Y) \equiv 0$ for $F(Y) \geq 1/2$.

For any connection ∇ on $\pi : TM \to M$, we get an extension of the Chern connection:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho = (1-\rho)\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}} + \rho\pi^*\nabla \tag{2.11}$$

on π^*TM over the total space TM. Clearly, the curvature $(\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho)^2$ is bounded along the fibres of TM. Let $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_\rho$ denote the induced connection on $\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)$ of $\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho$.

Let $\tilde{g}_F$ be any Euclidean metric on $\pi^*TM \to TM$ with $\tilde{g}_F = g_F$ over $TM \setminus D_{1/2}(M)$. Now we prove the following Finslerian Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula by Lemma 1 for the metric $\tilde{g}_F$ and the connection $\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho$ over TM.

Theorem 1. *Let (M, F) be a closed and oriented Finsler manifold of dimension $2n$. Then for any connection ∇ on $\pi : TM \to M$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(M) =&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}(2n)!}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{2n}^{2k}\int_{SM}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[c(\mathbf{e})c(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^{2k-1}(R^\natural)^k(P^\natural)^{2n-2k}\right]\right.\\ &\left.+\int_{SM}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta^\natural\left(P^\natural\right)^{2n-1}\right]\right\},\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

where $\mathbf{e} = \hat{Y}|_{SM}$ and $R^\natural$, $P^\natural$, $\theta^\natural$ are the natural lifting of R, P, θ respectively on $\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)$, and θ is defined by $\theta := \nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}} - \pi^*\nabla$. In particular, the formula (2.12) is independent of the choice of the connection ∇ on TM.

Proof. For any $T > 0$, similar to (1.16), we define the following superconnection

$$\tilde{A}_{\rho,T} = \widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)} + Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}).\tag{2.13}$$

Noticed that the curvature $(\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho)^2$ is bounded along the fibres of TM, we get by (1.17)

$$\chi(M) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{2n}\int_{TM}\mathrm{tr}_s[\exp(\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T})].\tag{2.14}$$

Since $\exp(\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T})$ is exponentially decay along fibres of TM and $\chi(M)$ does not depend on $T > 0$, we get

$$\chi(M) = \lim_{T\to+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{2n}\int_{TM}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T})\right] = \lim_{T\to+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{2n}\int_{D_1M}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T})\right].\tag{2.15}$$

Note that for any connection ∇ on TM, the curvature $(\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)})^2$ of the connection $\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}$ involves no vertical differential forms on TM, where $\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}$ is the lifting of ∇ on $\Lambda^*(T^*M)$, we have

$$\int_{D_1M}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp((\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)})^2)\right] = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{D_1M}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T})\right] =& \int_{D_1M}\Big(\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp(\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T})\right] - \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)})^2)\right]\Big)\\ &+\int_{D_1M}\left(\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)})^2)\right] - \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp((\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)})^2)\right]\right).\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

For the first term on the right hand side of (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.17)\qquad & \int_{D_1M}\Big(\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[\exp(\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T})\Big]-\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_{\rho})^2)\Big]\Big)\\
&=\int_{D_1M}\int_0^1\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_{\rho}+tTc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}))^2)\Big]\,dt\\
&=\int_{D_1M}\int_0^1 d^{TM}\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y})\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_{\rho}+tTc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}))^2)\Big]\,dt\\
&=\int_{D_1M}d^{TM}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y})\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_{\rho}+tTc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}))^2)\Big]\,dt\\
&=\int_{SM}i^*\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y})\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_{\rho}+tTc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}))^2)\Big]\,dt\\
&=\int_{SM}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[Tc(\mathbf{e})\exp((\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}+tTc(\mathbf{e}))^2)\Big]\,dt\\
&=\int_{SM}\int_0^1 e^{-t^2T^2}\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[Tc(\mathbf{e})\exp(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}+tT\Big[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},c(\mathbf{e})\Big])\Big]\,dt\\
&=\int_{SM}\int_0^1 e^{-t^2T^2}\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[Tc(\mathbf{e})\exp\Big(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}+tTc(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})\Big)\Big]\,dt,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i:SM\hookrightarrow TM$ denotes the natural embedding of the unit sphere bundle SM into TM, and $\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}$ is the lifting of ∇^{Ch} on $\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)$ and $R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}=(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural})^2=R^{\natural}+P^{\natural}$, and the last equality in (2.17) comes from (2.5).

Now noticed that the term $R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}$ is a two-form with two Clifford elements and the term $c(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})$ is a one-form with one Clifford element, hence by the property (1.6) or (1.9) of the supertrace and a degree counting, we get from (2.17) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.18)\qquad & \lim_{T\to+\infty}\int_{D_1M}\Big(\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[\exp(\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T})\Big]-\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_{\rho})^2)\Big]\Big)\\
&=\lim_{T\to+\infty}\int_{SM}\int_0^1 e^{-t^2T^2}\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[Tc(\mathbf{e})\exp\Big(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}+tTc(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})\Big)\Big]\,dt,\\
&=\lim_{T\to+\infty}\int_{SM}\int_0^1 e^{-t^2T^2}\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[Tc(\mathbf{e})\exp(tTc(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e}))\exp(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural})\Big]\,dt,\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{1}{(2k-1)!}\int_{SM}\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[c(\mathbf{e})c(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e}))^{2k-1}\exp(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural})\Big]\cdot\lim_{T\to+\infty}\int_0^1 e^{-t^2T^2}T^{2k}t^{2k-1}dt,\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{(k-1)!}{2(2k-1)!k!(2n-2k)!}\int_{SM}\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[c(\mathbf{e})c(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^{2k-1}(R^{\natural})^k(P^{\natural})^{2n-2k}\Big]\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{C^{2k}_{2n}}{(2n)!}\int_{SM}\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[c(\mathbf{e})c(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^{2k-1}(R^{\natural})^k(P^{\natural})^{2n-2k}\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second term on the right hand side of (2.16), by setting

$$\theta_\rho=\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho-\pi^*\nabla,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}(2.19)\qquad &\int_{D_1M}\left(\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)})^2)\right]-\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp((\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)})^2)\right]\right)\\ =&\int_{D_1M}\int_0^1\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left((\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}-(1-t)\theta_\rho^\natural)^2\right)\right]dt\\ =&\int_{D_1M}d^{TM}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta_\rho^\natural\exp\left((\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}-(1-t)\theta_\rho^\natural)^2\right)\right]dt\\ =&\int_{SM}i^*\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta_\rho^\natural\exp\left((\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}-(1-t)\theta_\rho^\natural)^2\right)\right]dt\\ =&\int_{SM}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta^\natural\exp\left(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}-(1-t)[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},\theta^\natural]+(1-t)^2\theta^\natural\wedge\theta^\natural\right)\right]dt.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},\theta^\natural]=&[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}-\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)},\theta^\natural]+[\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)},\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}-\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}]\\ =&[\theta^\natural,\theta^\natural]-[\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)},\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}]+[\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)},\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}]\\ =&2\theta^\natural\wedge\theta^\natural-2\left(\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}\right)^2+[\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}-\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}]+[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}]\\ =&2\theta^\natural\wedge\theta^\natural-2\left(\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}\right)^2-[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},\theta^\natural]+2R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(2.20)\qquad [\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},\theta^\natural]=\theta^\natural\wedge\theta^\natural-\left(\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}\right)^2+R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}.$$

Combining (2.19) and (2.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned}(2.21)\qquad &\int_{D_1M}\left(\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)})^2)\right]-\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp((\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)})^2)\right]\right)\\ =&\int_{SM}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\Big[\theta^\natural\exp\Big(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}+(1-t)\left((\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)})^2-R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}-\theta^\natural\wedge\theta^\natural\right)\\ &+(1-t)^2\theta^\natural\wedge\theta^\natural\Big)\Big]dt\\ =&\int_{SM}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta^\natural\exp\left(tR^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}+(1-t)(\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)})^2-t(1-t)\theta^\natural\wedge\theta^\natural\right)\right]dt\\ =&\int_{SM}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta^\natural\exp\left(tP^\natural+tR^\natural+(1-t)(\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)})^2-t(1-t)\theta^\natural\wedge\theta^\natural\right)\right]dt.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), the term $\theta^\natural$ is an $\mathrm{End}(\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M))$-valued horizontal one form, and so

$$tR^\natural+(1-t)(\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)})^2-t(1-t)\theta^\natural\wedge\theta^\natural$$

is an $\mathrm{End}(\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M))$-valued horizontal two form. Hence from (2.21), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.22)\qquad &\int_{D_1M}\left(\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp((\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)})^2)\right]-\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp((\pi^*\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)})^2)\right]\right)\\
=&\int_{SM}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta^\natural\exp\left(tP^\natural\right)\right]dt\\
=&\int_{SM}\frac{1}{(2n-1)!}\int_0^1 t^{2n-1}dt\ \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta^\natural(P^\natural)^{2n-1}\right]\\
=&\frac{1}{(2n)!}\int_{SM}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta^\natural\left(P^\natural\right)^{2n-1}\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.15), (2.18) and (2.22), we complete the proof of Theorem 1. □

Remark 1. *The Chern connection is essential to get the formula (2.12), in which the first term follows from the almost metric-preserving property, while the second term from the torsion-freeness. Also note that formula (2.12) is independent of the choice of the connection ∇ on TM, we can replace ∇ by the Levi-Civita connection of a fixed average Riemannian metric of a Finsler manifold to get a more intrinsic integrand.*

In the following, by using the induced homogeneous coordinate charts (x^i, y^i) on SM, we will workout a local version of the formula (2.12). More precisely, we will give an explicit GBC- integrand on M through the integration along the fibers, in which no information of the pull-back connection ∇ are involved.

Now we first compute the term $\mathrm{tr}_s\left[c(\mathbf{e})c(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^{2k-1}(R^\natural)^k(P^\natural)^{2n-2k}\right]$, for $k=1,\ldots,n$.

From (2.1), (2.6) and (1.5), with respect to the pull-back frame $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}\}$ of π^*TM, we have

$$
(2.23)\qquad \varpi^\natural=-\varpi_i^j d\hat{x}^i\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}},\quad R^\natural=-R_i^j d\hat{x}^i\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}},\quad P^\natural=-P_i^j d\hat{x}^i\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}}.
$$

We have also

$$
(2.24)\qquad c(\mathbf{e})=\omega\wedge -i_{\mathbf{e}}=F_{y^j}d\hat{x}^j\wedge-\frac{y^i}{F}i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}},
$$

where $\omega=\mathbf{e}^*=F_{y^i}dx^i$ is the Hilbert form on SM. Denote that

$$
(2.25)\qquad \left(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e}\right)^i:=\frac{\delta y^i}{F}-\frac{y^i}{F}d\log F,\quad (\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}\omega)_i:=g_{ik}\left(\frac{\delta y^k}{F}-\frac{y^k}{F}d\log F\right),
$$

$$
(2.26)\qquad \Upsilon_i^j:=(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}\omega)_i\left(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e}\right)^j,\quad \Xi_i^j:=\left(F_{y^i}(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^j-\frac{y^j}{F}(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}\omega)_i\right).
$$

By (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.27)\qquad c\left(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e}\right)=&(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^*\wedge -i_{\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e}}=(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}\omega)\wedge -i_{\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e}}\\
=&(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}\omega)_j d\hat{x}^j\wedge-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^i i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.23)–(2.27), we get

(2.28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{tr}_s\left[c(\mathbf{e})c(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^{2k-1}(R^{\natural})^k(P^{\natural})^{2n-2k}\right]=\mathrm{tr}_s\left[(R^{\natural})^k(P^{\natural})^{2n-2k}c(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^{2k-1}c(\mathbf{e})\right]\\
=&\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\left(-R_i^jd\hat{x}^i\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}}\right)^k\left(-P_i^jd\hat{x}^i\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}}\right)^{2n-2k}\left((\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}\omega)_jd\hat{x}^j\wedge-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^ii_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}}\right)^{2k-2}\right.\\
&\left.\left((\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}\omega)_pd\hat{x}^p\wedge-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^qi_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^q}}\right)\left(F_{y^l}d\hat{x}^l\wedge-\frac{y^r}{F}i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^r}}\right)\right]\\
=&(-1)^k\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\left(R_{i_1}^{j_1}\cdots R_{i_k}^{j_k}d\hat{x}^{i_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_1}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{i_k}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_k}}}\right)\left(P_{s_1}^{t_1}\cdots P_{s_{2n-2k}}^{t_{2n-2k}}d\hat{x}^{s_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{t_1}}}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\cdots d\hat{x}^{s_{2n-2k}}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{t_{2n-2k}}}}\right)\cdot C_{2k-2}^{k-1}\left((\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}\omega)_{p_1}(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^{q_1}\cdots(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}\omega)_{p_{k-1}}(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^{q_{k-1}}\right.\\
&\left.\left.\cdot\ d\hat{x}^{p_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{q_1}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{p_{k-1}}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{q_{k-1}}}}\right)\left(F_{y^l}(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\mathbf{e})^qd\hat{x}^l\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^q}}-\frac{y^r}{F}(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},*}\omega)_pd\hat{x}^p\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^r}}\right)\right]\\
=&(-1)^kC_{2k-2}^{k-1}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\left(R_{i_1}^{j_1}\cdots R_{i_k}^{j_k}d\hat{x}^{i_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_1}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{i_k}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_k}}}\right)\left(P_{s_1}^{t_1}\cdots P_{s_{2n-2k}}^{t_{2n-2k}}d\hat{x}^{s_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{t_1}}}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.\cdots d\hat{x}^{s_{2n-2k}}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{t_{2n-2k}}}}\right)\left(\Upsilon_{p_1}^{q_1}\cdots\Upsilon_{p_{k-1}}^{q_{k-1}}d\hat{x}^{p_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{q_1}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{p_{k-1}}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{q_{k-1}}}}\right)\left(\Xi_l^rd\hat{x}^l\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^r}}\right)\right.\\
=&(-1)^kC_{2k-2}^{k-1}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[R_{i_1}^{j_1}\cdots R_{i_k}^{j_k}P_{s_1}^{t_1}\cdots P_{s_{2n-2k}}^{t_{2n-2k}}\Upsilon_{p_1}^{q_1}\cdots\Upsilon_{p_{k-1}}^{q_{k-1}}\Xi_l^rd\hat{x}^{i_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_1}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{i_k}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_k}}}\right.\\
&\left.d\hat{x}^{s_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{t_1}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{s_{2n-2k}}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{t_{2n-2k}}}}d\hat{x}^{p_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{q_1}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{p_{k-1}}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{q_{k-1}}}}d\hat{x}^l\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^r}}\right]\\
=&(-1)^kC_{2k-2}^{k-1}R_{i_1}^{j_1}\cdots R_{i_k}^{j_k}P_{i_{k+1}}^{j_{k+1}}\cdots P_{i_{2n-k}}^{j_{2n-k}}\Upsilon_{i_{2n-k+1}}^{j_{2n-k+1}}\cdots\Upsilon_{i_{2n-1}}^{j_{2n-1}}\Xi_{i_{2n}}^{j_{2n}}\\
&\mathrm{tr}_s\left[d\hat{x}^{i_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_1}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{i_{2n}}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_{2n}}}}\right]\\
=&(-1)^kC_{2k-2}^{k-1}\delta_{j_1\cdots j_{2n}}^{i_1\cdots i_{2n}}R_{i_1}^{j_1}\cdots R_{i_k}^{j_k}P_{i_{k+1}}^{j_{k+1}}\cdots P_{i_{2n-k}}^{j_{2n-k}}\Upsilon_{i_{2n-k+1}}^{j_{2n-k+1}}\cdots\Upsilon_{i_{2n-1}}^{j_{2n-1}}\Xi_{i_{2n}}^{j_{2n}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we compute the second term $\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta^{\natural}\left(P^{\natural}\right)^{2n-1}\right]$ in (2.12). Recall that θ is defined by $\theta=\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}-\pi^*\nabla$ in Theorem 1. Let $\vartheta=(\vartheta_i^j)$ be the connection matrix of ∇ with respect to the frame $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\}$. Then we get

$$\theta^{\natural}=-\left(\varpi_i^j-\pi^*\vartheta_i^j\right)d\hat{x}^i\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}}.$$

Using (1.6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.29)\qquad&\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta^{\natural}\left(P^{\natural}\right)^{2n-1}\right]=\mathrm{tr}_s\left[-\left(\varpi_i^j-\pi^*\vartheta_i^j\right)d\hat{x}^i\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}}\left(-P_k^ld\hat{x}^k\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^l}}\right)^{2n-1}\right]\\
=&P_{i_1}^{j_1}\cdots P_{i_{2n-1}}^{j_{2n-1}}\left(\varpi_{i_{2n}}^{j_{2n}}-\pi^*\vartheta_{i_{2n}}^{j_{2n}}\right)\mathrm{tr}_s\left[d\hat{x}^{i_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_1}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{i_{2n}}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_{2n}}}}\right]\\
=&\delta_{j_1\cdots j_{2n}}^{i_1\cdots i_{2n}}P_{i_1}^{j_1}\cdots P_{i_{2n-1}}^{j_{2n-1}}\left(\varpi_{i_{2n}}^{j_{2n}}-\pi^*\vartheta_{i_{2n}}^{j_{2n}}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, by using (2.7), we get

$$\int_{SM/M} P^{j_1}_{i_1}\cdots P^{j_{2n-1}}_{i_{2n-1}}(\pi^*\vartheta^{j_{2n}}_{i_{2n}}) = \vartheta^{j_{2n}}_{i_{2n}}\int_{SM/M} P^{j_1}_{i_1}\cdots P^{j_{2n-1}}_{i_{2n-1}} = 0. \tag{2.30}$$

So combining (2.29) and (2.30), we have

$$\int_{SM/M}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\theta^\natural\left(P^\natural\right)^{2n-1}\right] = \int_{SM/M}\delta^{i_1\ldots i_{2n}}_{j_1\ldots j_{2n}}P^{j_1}_{i_1}\cdots P^{j_{2n-1}}_{i_{2n-1}}\varpi^{j_{2n}}_{i_{2n}}. \tag{2.31}$$

Finally, from Theorem 1, (2.28) and (2.31), we get the following local version of the Finslerian Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula (2.12).

Theorem 2. *Let (M,F) be a closed and oriented Finsler manifold of dimension $2n$. Let $R^{\mathrm{Ch}} = R + P$ be the curvature of the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} on the pull-back bundle π^*TM over SM. Then in the induced homogeneous coordinate charts (x^i, y^i) on SM, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(M) =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}(2n)!}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^k C^{2k}_{2n}C^{k-1}_{2k-2}\int_{SM}\delta^{i_1\ldots i_{2n}}_{j_1\ldots j_{2n}}R^{j_1}_{i_1}\cdots R^{j_k}_{i_k}P^{j_{k+1}}_{i_{k+1}}\cdots P^{j_{2n-k}}_{i_{2n-k}}\right.\\ &\left.\cdot\Upsilon^{j_{2n-k+1}}_{i_{2n-k+1}}\cdots\Upsilon^{j_{2n-1}}_{i_{2n-1}}\Xi^{j_{2n}}_{i_{2n}} + \int_M\int_{SM/M}\delta^{i_1\ldots i_{2n}}_{j_1\ldots j_{2n}}P^{j_1}_{i_1}\cdots P^{j_{2n-1}}_{i_{2n-1}}\varpi^{j_{2n}}_{i_{2n}}\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

where ϖ^j_i, R^j_i, P^j_i, Υ^j_i and Ξ^j_i are defined by (2.3), (2.6), (2.26), respectively.

Remark 2. *Note that the terms*

$$\delta^{i_1\ldots i_{2n}}_{j_1\ldots j_{2n}}R^{j_1}_{i_1}\cdots R^{j_k}_{i_k}P^{j_{k+1}}_{i_{k+1}}\cdots P^{j_{2n-k}}_{i_{2n-k}}\Upsilon^{j_{2n-k+1}}_{i_{2n-k+1}}\cdots\Upsilon^{j_{2n-1}}_{i_{2n-1}}\Xi^{j_{2n}}_{i_{2n}}$$

are globally defined differential forms on SM, while the term $\delta^{i_1\ldots i_{2n}}_{j_1\ldots j_{2n}}P^{j_1}_{i_1}\cdots P^{j_{2n-1}}_{i_{2n-1}}\varpi^{j_{2n}}_{i_{2n}}$ is not. However, the vertical exactness property (2.7) of the Chern-Minkowski curvature P guarantees that the following integral along fibres

$$\int_{SM/M}\delta^{i_1\ldots i_{2n}}_{j_1\ldots j_{2n}}P^{j_1}_{i_1}\cdots P^{j_{2n-1}}_{i_{2n-1}}\varpi^{j_{2n}}_{i_{2n}}$$

is a well-defined global differential form on M.

As an example of Theorem 2, we will give an explicit GBC-formula for a closed and oriented Finsler surface (M,F). Note that in the induced homogeneous coordinate charts (x^i, y^i) on SM, we get from (2.32)

$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left\{-\int_{SM}\delta^{i_1i_2}_{j_1j_2}R^{j_1}_{i_1}\Xi^{j_2}_{i_2} + \int_M\int_{SM/M}\delta^{i_1i_2}_{j_1j_2}P^{j_1}_{i_1}\varpi^{j_2}_{i_2}\right\}. \tag{2.33}$$

For further investigation, it is more convenience to rewrite (2.33) with respect to the following special g_F-orthonormal oriented frame $\{e_1, e_2\}$, where

$$e_1 := \frac{F_{y^2}}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^1} - \frac{F_{y^1}}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^2}, \qquad e_2 := \frac{y^1}{F}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^1} + \frac{y^2}{F}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^2}.$$

In this case, the dual frame $\{\omega^1, \omega^2\}$ is given by

$$\omega^1 = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{F}y^2 d\hat{x}^1 - \frac{\sqrt{g}}{F}y^1 d\hat{x}^2, \qquad \omega^2 = F_{y^1}d\hat{x}^1 + F_{y^2}d\hat{x}^2.$$

Set

$$\omega^3 := \omega_2^1 = -\omega_1^2 = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{F}\left(y^2\frac{\delta y^1}{F} - y^1\frac{\delta y^2}{F}\right).$$

Then under the special g_F-orthonormal frame above, the Chern curvature forms are

$$(R^{\mathrm{Ch}})_a^b = R_a^b + P_a^b = R_a{}^b{}_{12}\omega^1\omega^2 + P_a{}^b{}_{11}\omega^1\omega^3 + P_a{}^b{}_{21}\omega^2\omega^3.$$

One now verifies by (2.33) the following corollary easily.

Corollary 1. *For any closed and oriented Finsler surfaces (M, F), we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(M) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\Bigg\{&\int_{SM} R_1{}^2{}_{12}\omega^1\omega^2\omega^3 - \int_M\int_{SM/M}\frac{1}{F^3}\left(G_1y^1 + G_2y^2\right)P_1{}^1{}_{11}\omega^1\omega^2\omega^3\\ &+\int_M\int_{SM/M}\left[\frac{F_{y^2}}{\sqrt{g}}\left((\log F)_{x^1} - \frac{1}{F^2}G_1\right) - \frac{F_{y^1}}{\sqrt{g}}\left((\log F)_{x^2} - \frac{1}{F^2}G_2\right)\right]P_2{}^1{}_{11}\omega^1\omega^2\omega^3\Bigg\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

where $G_i := \frac{1}{4}\left(y^j[F^2]_{y^ix^j} - [F^2]_{x^i}\right)$.

When the surface (M, F) in Corollary 1 is a Landsberg space, that is, $P_2{}^1{}_{11} = 0$, we get

$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\left\{\int_{SM} R_1{}^2{}_{12}\omega^1\omega^2\omega^3 - \int_M\int_{SM/M}\frac{1}{F^3}\left(G_1y^1 + G_2y^2\right)P_1{}^1{}_{11}\omega^1\omega^2\omega^3\right\}. \tag{2.35}$$

On the other hand, for closed and oriented Landsberg surfaces, Bao and Chern [1] obtained that

$$\int_M -R_1{}^2{}_{12}\omega^1\omega^2 = \chi(M)\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{Finsler}S^1). \tag{2.36}$$

Note that for a Landsberg surface, the volume function

$$\int_{SM/M} -\omega^3 = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{Finsler}S^1) \tag{2.37}$$

is constant. Moreover, due to an observation of Chern (cf. [7]), $R_1{}^2{}_{12}\omega^1\omega^2$ in fact lives on M. As a consequence of (2.35)-(2.37), we get the following corollary

Corollary 2. *Let (M, F) be a closed and oriented Landsberg surface. Then the following equality holds*

$$\left[\left(\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{Finsler}S^1)\right)^2 - (2\pi)^2\right]\chi(M) = \int_M\int_{SM/M}\frac{1}{F^3}\left(G_1y^1 + G_2y^2\right)P_1{}^1{}_{11}\omega^1\omega^2\omega^3. \tag{2.38}$$

Moreover, when (M, F) is Berwald, one has $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{Finsler}S^1) = 2\pi$ *or* $\chi(M) = 0$.

In fact, by the Szabó's rigidity theorem (cf. [2], p.278) that any Berwald surfaces must be locally Minkowskian or Riemannian, and a closed locally Minkowskian surface has zero Euler number, one also gets easily the assertion of Corollary 2 on Berwald spaces.

3. A special case: Berwald space

In this section, we will investigate the GBC-formulae for Berwald spaces. Recall that a Finsler manifold (M, F) is a Berwald manifold if and only if the Chern-Minkowski curvature P of the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} vanishes. Moreover, for a Berwald space (M, F), the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} on π^*TM is actually defined over the whole total space TM, not only TM_o. In fact, now the Chern connection is the pull back of the Levi-Civita connection on $TM \to M$ for some Riemannian metric g^{TM} on M (cf. Ch. 10 in [2] for more details).

3.1. **A Finslerian GBC-formula for Berwald spaces.** Note that when the Chern-Minkowski curvature P vanishes, that is, (M, F) a Berwald space, the theorem 1 becomes very simple, from which we deduce the following Finslerian GBC-formula for Berwald spaces easily.

Theorem 3. *Let (M, F) be a closed and oriented Berwald space of dimension $2n$. Then one has,*

$$\chi(M) = \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(S^{2n-1})} \int_M \int_{SM/M} \mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}) \omega_1^{2n} \cdots \omega_{2n-1}^{2n}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\omega_1^{2n} \cdots \omega_{2n-1}^{2n}$ gives the volume form of the fibre when restricting to a fibre of SM.

Proof. Since the Chern-Minkowski curvature P vanishes for Berwald spaces, then form Theorem 1 we get

$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}(2n)!} \int_{SM} \mathrm{tr}_s \left[c(\mathbf{e}) c(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}} \mathbf{e})^{2n-1} (R^\natural)^n \right].$$

Hence, under the special g_F-orthonormal frames $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{2n}\}$ of π^*TM with $e_{2n} = \mathbf{e}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(M) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}(2n)!} \int_{SM} \mathrm{tr}_s \left[c(\mathbf{e}) c(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}} \mathbf{e})^{2n-1} (R^\natural)^n \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}(2n)!} \int_{SM} \mathrm{tr}_s \left[c(e_{2n}) (\sum_{\gamma=1}^{2n-1} \omega_{2n}^{\gamma} c(e_\gamma))^{2n-1} (R^\natural)^n \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}(2n)2^{2n}} \int_{SM} \mathrm{tr}_s \left[\omega_{2n}^1 \cdots \omega_{2n}^{2n-1} c(e_1) \cdots c(e_{2n}) (\widehat{R}_a^b \hat{c}(e_a) \hat{c}(e_b))^n \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}(2n)2^{2n}} \int_{SM} \epsilon_{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}} \widehat{R}_{a_1}^{a_2} \cdots \widehat{R}_{a_{2n-1}}^{a_{2n}} \omega_1^{2n} \cdots \omega_{2n-1}^{2n} \\
&\quad \mathrm{tr}_s \left[c(e_1) \cdots c(e_{2n}) \hat{c}(e_1) \cdots \hat{c}(e_{2n}) \right] \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi)^{2n}(2n)} \int_{SM} \epsilon_{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}} \widehat{R}_{a_1}^{a_2} \cdots \widehat{R}_{a_{2n-1}}^{a_{2n}} \omega_1^{2n} \cdots \omega_{2n-1}^{2n} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n (n-1)!}{2^{n+1} \pi^{2n}} \int_{SM} \mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}) \omega_1^{2n} \cdots \omega_{2n-1}^{2n} \\
&= \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(S^{2n-1})} \int_M \int_{SM/M} \mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}) \omega_1^{2n} \cdots \omega_{2n-1}^{2n}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3. *One should notice that in (3.1), the date $\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}$, defined by (2.9), is the skew-symmetrization of R^{Ch} with respect to g_F. Hence the differential form $\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}})$ is dependent on the vertical coordinates y^i. However, if the Finsler metric F is induced by a Riemannian*

metric g^{TM} on M, then M itself is a Riemannian manifold. In this case, $\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}})$ *is exactly the Pfaffian* $\mathrm{Pf}(R^{TM})$ *defined by (0.2), which is constant along fibres of SM, and therefore, we recover Chern's formula (0.1) from the formula (3.1) easily.*

3.2. **A Bao-Chern type GBC-formulae for Berwald spaces.** In this subsection, inspired by an idea from Weiping Zhang, we will work out a Bao-Chern type GBC-formula for Berwald spaces. Let (M, F) be a closed and oriented Finsler manifold. Let X be a vector field on M with isolated zero set $Z(X)$. Define $[X]$ by $[X]_x = X_x/F(x, X)$ for any $x \in M \setminus Z(X)$. For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, let $Z_\epsilon(X)$ be the open ϵ-neighborhood of $Z(X)$ in M with respect to the Finsler metric F, and set $M_\epsilon = M \setminus Z_\epsilon(X)$. Then $[X]$ determines a pull-back section $\widehat{[X]}$ of $\pi^*TM \to TM_\epsilon$. Now consider the following family of superconnections on $\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M) \to TM_\epsilon$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$\tilde{A}_{\rho,T,t} = \widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)} + Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}) - tTc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\widehat{[X]}) = \widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)} + Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\hat{Y} - t\widehat{[X]}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Clearly, $\tilde{A}_{\rho,T,0}$ is the extended Chern connection defined in the previous section, and for $\tilde{A}_{\rho,T,1}$, we have the following localization formula for any Finsler manifold.

Lemma 2.

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \int_{TM_\epsilon} \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp \tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T,1}\right] = (-2\pi)^n \int_{M_\epsilon} [X]^* \mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{TM_\epsilon} \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)} + Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y} - \widehat{[X]})\right)^2\right] \\ =&\int_{M_\epsilon}\int_{TM_\epsilon/M_\epsilon} e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2} \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(\widetilde{R}^{\natural}_\rho + T[\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}, c_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y} - \widehat{[X]})]\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $|\hat{Y} - \widehat{[X]}|^2 = \tilde{g}_F(\hat{Y} - \widehat{[X]}, \hat{Y} - \widehat{[X]})$, and $\widetilde{R}_\rho = \widetilde{\nabla}^2_\rho$.

Note that the zero set of the section $\hat{Y} - \widehat{[X]}$ in TM_ϵ is exactly $[X](M_\epsilon)$. For a fixed $\delta > 0$, let $B_\delta([X](M_\epsilon))$ be the open δ-tube neighborhood of $[X](M_\epsilon)$ in TM_ϵ. So when δ is small enough, one has $\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)} = \nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}$ and $\tilde{g}_F = g_F$ on $B_\delta([X](M_\epsilon))$. Now by the exponential decay property of the integral in (3.4) along fibres as $T \to +\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{T\to\infty} \int_{TM_\epsilon} \mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)} + Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y} - \widehat{[X]})\right)^2\right] \\ =&\int_{[X](M_\epsilon)} \lim_{T\to\infty} \int_{B_\delta([X](M_\epsilon))/[X](M_\epsilon)} e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-[\hat{X}]|^2} \left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural} + T[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}, c_{g_F}(\hat{Y} - \widehat{[X]})]\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Note that near each $x \in M_\epsilon$, we have from (2.2) and (2.23)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}, c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^i}\right)\right] = \left[d^{TM} + \varpi^\natural, c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^i}\right)\right] \\ =&(d^{TM} g_{ij}) d\hat{x}^j \wedge + \left[-\varpi^l_k d\hat{x}^k \wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^l}}, g_{ik} d\hat{x}^k \wedge -i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^i}}\right] \\ =&(g_{ik}\varpi^k_j + g_{jk}\varpi^k_i + 2F^{-1}A_{ijk}\delta y^k) d\hat{x}^j \wedge - \left(g_{il}\varpi^l_k d\hat{x}^k \wedge + \varpi^k_i i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^k}}\right) \\ =&\varpi^j_i c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^j}\right) + 2F^{-1}A_{ijk}\delta y^k d\hat{x}^j \wedge . \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Write $[X]=[X]^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ near x and so $\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}=(y^i-[X]^i)\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}$ on $B_\delta([X](x))$. Then from (2.4) and (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.7)\qquad [\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},c_{g_F}(\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]})] &= \left[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},(y^i-[X]^i)c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right]\\
&=d^{TM}(y^i-[X]^i)c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)+(y^i-[X]^i)\left[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right]\\
&=d^{TM}(y^i-[X]^i)c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)+(y^i-[X]^i)\varpi_i^jc_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}\right)\\
&\quad+2(y^i-[X]^i)F^{-1}A_{ijk}\delta y^k d\hat{x}^j\wedge\\
&=\delta y^ic_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\widehat{[X]})^ic_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)+2(y^i-[X]^i)F^{-1}A_{ijk}\delta y^k d\hat{x}^j\wedge,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\widehat{[X]}=(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\widehat{[X]})^i\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}$ denotes the covariant differential of the section $\widehat{[X]}$. Moreover, from (2.3), one sees that $(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\widehat{[X]})^i=d[X]^i+[X]^j\varpi_j^i$ are purely horizontal one forms. By (3.7) and $y^iA_{ijk}\equiv 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.8)\qquad &\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}+T[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},c_{g_F}(\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]})]\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}\\
&=\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural+P^\natural+T\delta y^ic_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right.\right.\right.\\
&\quad\left.\left.\left.-T(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\widehat{[X]})^ic_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)-2T[X]^iF^{-1}A_{ijk}\delta y^kd\hat{x}^j\wedge\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for any bounded smooth function f on T_xM, one has easily

$$
(3.9)\qquad \lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}f(Y)T^{2n}\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}dy^1\wedge\cdots\wedge dy^{2n}=\pi^nf([X](x)).
$$

From (3.8), (3.9) and $([X]|_x)^iA_{ijk}([X]_x)=0$, one sees easily that the term $2T[X]^iF^{-1}A_{ijk}\delta y^kd\hat{x}^j\wedge$ has no contribution to the final result of (3.8).

Note that

$$
(3.10)\qquad \widetilde{P}:=(\sum_{k,l}P_i{}^j{}_{kl}dx^k\wedge(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^l)
$$

gives a well-defined endomorphism $\widetilde{P}$ on $\pi^*TM\to M\setminus Z(X)$, and by (1.4), its lifting $\widetilde{P}^\natural$ on $\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)\to M\setminus Z(X)$ is given by

$$
(3.11)\qquad \widetilde{P}^\natural=-\sum_{i,j}P_i{}^j{}_{kl}dx^k\wedge(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^ld\hat{x}^i\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}}=:-\sum_{i,j}P_{il}^j(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^ld\hat{x}^i\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}}.
$$

Therefore, the right hand side of equation (3.8) becomes

(3.12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))} e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural\right)\exp\left(P^\natural\right)\exp\left(-T(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^i c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right)\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.\exp\left(T\delta y^i c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}\\
&=\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))} e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural\right)\exp\left(P^\natural\right)\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\left(1-T(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^i c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right)\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\left(1+T\delta y^i c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}\\
&=\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))} e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural\right)\sum_{k=0}^{2n}\exp\left(P^\natural\right)\sum_{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_k\le 2n}(-1)^k T^{2n}(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^{i_1}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.\cdot c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{i_1}}\right)\cdots(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^{i_k}c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{i_k}}\right)\delta y^{i_{k+1}}c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{i_{k+1}}}\right)\cdots\delta y^{i_{2n}}c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{i_{2n}}}\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}\\
&=\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))} e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural\right)\sum_{k=0}^{2n}\left(\frac{(-1)^k}{k!}\sum_{j_1\cdots j_{2k}}P^{j_2}_{j_1s_1}\delta y^{s_1}\cdots P^{j_{2k}}_{j_{2k-1}s_k}\delta y^{s_k}\right.\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.d\hat{x}^{j_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_2}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{j_{2k-1}}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_{2k}}}}\right)\left(\sum_{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_k\le 2n}(-1)^k T^{2n}(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^{i_1}c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{i_1}}\right)\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.\left.\cdots(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^{i_k}c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{i_k}}\right)\delta y^{i_{k+1}}c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{i_{k+1}}}\right)\cdots\delta y^{i_{2n}}c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{i_{2n}}}\right)\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}\\
&=\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))} e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2n}\frac{(-1)^k}{k!}\sum_{j_1\cdots j_{2k}}P^{j_2}_{j_1s_1}(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^{s_1}\cdots P^{j_{2k}}_{j_{2k-1}s_k}\right.\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^{s_k}d\hat{x}^{j_1}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_2}}}\cdots d\hat{x}^{j_{2k-1}}\wedge i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{j_{2k}}}}\right)\cdot T^{2n}\delta y^1 c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^1}\right)\cdots\delta y^{2n}c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{2n}}\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}\\
&=\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))} e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural\right)\exp\left(\widetilde{P}^\natural\right)\exp\left(Tdy^i c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}\\
&=\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))} e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural+\widetilde{P}^\natural\right)\exp\left(Tdy^i c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we will use the special g_F-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1,\ldots,e_{2n}\}$. Let $\{\omega^1,\ldots,\omega^{2n}\}$ be its dual frame field. Set

$$
e_a=u_a^j\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j},\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}=v_i^a e_a. \tag{3.13}
$$

Then we have

$$g_{ij}=\sum_{a=1}^{2n}v_i^a v_j^a,\quad c_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)=v_i^a c(e_a). \tag{3.14}$$

Set

$$(R^\natural+\widetilde{P}^\natural)\omega^a=-\widetilde{\Omega}_b^a\omega^b. \tag{3.15}$$

By (2.10), (3.9) and (3.13)-(3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural+\widetilde{P}^\natural\right)\exp\left(Tdy^ic_{g_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}\\
=&\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural+\widetilde{P}^\natural\right)\exp\left(Tdy^iv_i^ac_{g_F}\left(e_a\right)\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n)}\\
=&\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta([X](x))}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]}|^2}\det(v_i^a)\sum\epsilon_{a_1\dots a_{2n}}\widetilde{\Omega}_{a_1}^{a_2}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widetilde{\Omega}_{a_{2n-1}}^{a_{2n}}\\
&\cdot T^{2n}dy^1\wedge\cdots\wedge dy^{2n}\\
=&\frac{(-1)^n\pi^n}{n!}\sum\epsilon_{a_1\dots a_{2n}}\widetilde{\Omega}_{a_1}^{a_2}([X](x))\wedge\cdots\wedge\widetilde{\Omega}_{a_{2n-1}}^{a_{2n}}([X](x)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Since the map $[X]:M\setminus Z(X)\to TM$ is given by $[X](x)=(x,[X])$ for any $x\in M\setminus Z(X)$. We have

$$[X]_*\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}+\frac{\partial[X]^j}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j},$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
[X]^*\delta y^i=&[X]^*(dy^i+y^j\Gamma_{jk}^idx^k)=\frac{\partial[X]^i}{\partial x^j}dx^j+[X]^j(\Gamma_{jk}^i\circ[X])dx^k\\
=&d[X]^i+[X]^j([X]^*\varpi_j^i)=[X]^*(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}\widehat{[X]})^i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

By (2.8), (3.10), (3.11), (3.15) and (3.17), we have

$$[X]^*\Omega_b^a=[X]^*\widetilde{\Omega}_b^a. \tag{3.18}$$

From (2.10), (3.5), (3.8), (3.11), (3.12), (3.16) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{TM_\epsilon}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*TM)}+Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]})\right)^2\right]\\
=&\frac{(-1)^n\pi^n}{n!}\int_{[X](M_\epsilon)}\sum\epsilon_{a_1\dots a_{2n}}\widetilde{\Omega}_{a_1}^{a_2}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widetilde{\Omega}_{a_{2n-1}}^{a_{2n}}\\
=&\frac{(-1)^n\pi^n}{n!}\int_{M_\epsilon}[X]^*\sum\epsilon_{a_1\dots a_{2n}}\widetilde{\Omega}_{a_1}^{a_2}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widetilde{\Omega}_{a_{2n-1}}^{a_{2n}}\\
=&\frac{(-1)^n\pi^n}{n!}\int_{M_\epsilon}[X]^*\sum\epsilon_{a_1\dots a_{2n}}\Omega_{a_1}^{a_2}\wedge\cdots\wedge\Omega_{a_{2n-1}}^{a_{2n}}\\
=&(-2\pi)^n\int_{M_\epsilon}[X]^*\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.3) holds. □

We will simply denote $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{M_\epsilon}[X]^*\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}})$ by $\int_M[X]^*\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}})$. Now we state the following Bao-Chern type GBC-formulae for Berwald spaces.

Theorem 4. *Let (M,F) be a closed and oriented Berwald manifold of dimension $2n$. Let X be a vector field on M with the isolated zero points. Then*

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n\int_M[X]^*\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}})=\chi(M).$$

Proof. For the family of superconnections defined by (3.2), we have the following transgression formula

(3.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{TM_\epsilon}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T,1}\right]-\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{TM_\epsilon}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T,0}\right]\\
=&\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{TM_\epsilon}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_\rho+Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}-\widehat{[X]})\right)^2\right]-\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_\rho+Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y})\right)^2\right]\\
=&-\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{TM_\epsilon}d^{TM}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\left[Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\widehat{[X]})\exp\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_\rho+Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]})\right)^2\right]dt\\
=&\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{TM|_{\partial Z_\epsilon(X)}}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\left[Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\widehat{[X]})\exp\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}_\rho+Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]})\right)^2\right]dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we need to deal with the last equality in (3.19) for Berwald spaces. Note that for Berwald spaces, the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} is the pull-back of the Levi-Civita connection for some a Riemannian metric g^{TM} on TM. So the connection components ϖ^j_i of ∇^{Ch} with respect to a pull-back frame $\{\partial/\partial\hat{x}^i\}$ are now defined over the whole space TM and independent on the vertical variable y, and

$$dg^{TM}_{ij}=g^{TM}_{ik}\varpi^k_j+g^{TM}_{jk}\varpi^k_i. \tag{3.20}$$

In this case, we define

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho=\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}},\quad \tilde{g}_F=(1-\rho)g_F+\rho g^{TM}. \tag{3.21}$$

From (2.2), (2.23), (3.20) and (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},c_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]})]=&\left[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},(y^i-t[X]^i)c_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right]\\
=&d^{TM}(y^i-t[X]^i)c_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)+(y^i-t[X]^i)\varpi^j_ic_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\\
&+d^{TM}\rho(y^i-t[X]^i)(g^{TM}_{ij}-g_{ij})d\hat{x}^j\wedge+2(1-\rho)F^{-1}(y^i-t[X]^i)A_{ijk}\delta y^kd\hat{x}^j\wedge\\
=&\delta y^ic_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)-t(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^ic_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\\
&+(y^i-t[X]^i)(g^{TM}_{ij}-g_{ij})d^{TM}\rho d\hat{x}^j\wedge-2t(1-\rho)F^{-1}[X]^iA_{ijk}\delta y^kd\hat{x}^j\wedge.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

By (3.9), (3.21) and (3.22), we get

(3.23)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{T_xM}\int_0^1\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\widehat{[X]})\exp\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}+Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]})\right)^2\right]\right\}^{(4n-1)}dt\\
&=\int_0^1\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{T_xM}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\widehat{[X]})\exp\left(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}+T[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural},c_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]})]\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n-1)}dt\\
&=\int_0^1\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{T_xM}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[T[X]^ic_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\exp\left(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}-t(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^ic_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right.\right.\right.\\
&\quad\left.\left.\left.+T\delta y^ic_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)+T(y^i-t[X]^i)(g^{TM}_{ij}-g_{ij})d^{TM}\rho d\hat{x}^j\wedge\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n-1)}dt\\
&=\int_0^1\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{T_xM}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[T[X]^ic_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\exp\left(R^{\mathrm{Ch},\natural}-t(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^ic_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right)\right.\right.\\
&\quad\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{2n}\left(1+T\delta y^ic_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right)\cdot T(y^i-t[X]^i)(g^{TM}_{ip}-g_{ip})d^{TM}\rho\\
&\quad\left.\left.\cdot\frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{pj}\left(\hat{c}_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}\right)+c_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}\right)\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n-1)}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we can and will choose $\rho(x,Y)=f(F(x,Y))$ for any nonnegative function $f(t)$ on $[0,+\infty)$ such that $0\le f\le 1$ with $f\equiv 1$ for $t\le\frac{1}{4}$ and $f\equiv 0$ for $t\ge\frac{1}{2}$. Since $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}F=0$, we have

$$d^{TM}\rho=f'dF=f'\left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta x^i}dx^i+\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i}\delta y^i\right)=f'F_{y^i}\delta y^i. \tag{3.24}$$

Since $P=0$ and $R^{\mathrm{Ch}}=R$ for Berwald spaces, and by (3.24), the right hand side of (3.23) equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{T_xM}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\left(R^\natural-t(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ch}}[X])^ic_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^i}\right)\right)\right.\right.\\
&\quad\cdot T^{2n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}(-1)^{n+i-1}[X]^i\delta y^1\cdots\widehat{\delta y^i}\cdots\delta y^{2n}c_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^1}\right)\cdots c_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{2n}}\right)\\
&\quad\left.\left.\cdot\frac{1}{2}T(y^i-t[X]^i)(g^{TM}_{ip}-g_{ip})\tilde{g}^{pj}f'F_{y^s}\delta y^s\hat{c}_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n-1)}dt\\
&=\int_0^1\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{T_xM}e^{-T^2|\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]}|^2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\frac{1}{2}T(y^i-t[X]^i)(g^{TM}_{ip}-g_{ip})\tilde{g}^{pj}f'F_{y^s}[X]^s\hat{c}_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^j}\right)\right.\right.\\
&\quad\left.\left.\cdot\exp\left(R^\natural\right)\cdot T^{2n}\delta y^1\cdots\delta y^{2n}c_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^1}\right)\cdots c_{\tilde{g}_F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}^{2n}}\right)\right]\right\}^{(4n-1)}dt\\
&=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Notice that $\partial Z_\epsilon(X)$ is $4n-1$ dimension, by (3.23) and (3.25), we obtain

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{TM|_{\partial Z_\epsilon(X)}}\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}_s\left[Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\widehat{[X]})\exp\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_\rho^{\Lambda^*(\pi^*T^*M)}+Tc_{\tilde{g}_F}(\hat{Y}-t\widehat{[X]})\right)^2\right]dt=0. \tag{3.26}$$

Now from (3.19) and (3.26), we get

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{T(M_\epsilon)}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T,1}\right]-\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{T(M_\epsilon)}\mathrm{tr}_s\left[\exp\tilde{A}^2_{\rho,T,0}\right]=0. \tag{3.27}$$

Finally, by (2.14), (3.3) and (3.27), we have

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n\int_M[X]^*\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}})=\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^n\lim_{\epsilon\to0}\int_{M_\epsilon}[X]^*\mathrm{Pf}(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{Ch}})=\chi(M).$$

□

References

[1] D. Bao and S. S. Chern, *A note on Gauss-Bonnet theorem for Finsler spaces.* Annals of Mathematics, 143(1996), 233-252.

[2] D. Bao, S. S. Chern and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 200, Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc., 2000.

[3] D. Bao and Z. Shen, *On the volume of unit tangent spheres in a Finsler manifold.* Results in Mathematics, 26(1994), 1-17.

[4] N. Berline, E. Getzler and M. Vergne, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004.

[5] S. S. Chern, *A simple intrinsic proof of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed Riemannian manifolds.* Ann. Math., 45(1944), 747-752.

[6] S. S. Chern, *Local equivalence and Euclidean connections in Finsler spaces.* Sci. Rep. Nat. Tsing Hua Univ. Ser. A 5 (1948), 95-121; or Selected Papers, vol. II, 194-212, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[7] S. S. Chern, *Historical remarks on Gauss-Bonnet.* Analysis et Cetera, volume dedicated to Jürgen Moser, 209-217, Academic Press, 1990.

[8] H. Feng and M. Li, *Adiabatic limit and connections in Finsler geometry.* Communications in Analysis and Geometry, 21(3)(2013), 607-624.

[9] H. Feng and W. Zhang , *Superconnections and affine manifolds.* arXiv:1603.07248v2, 2016.

[10] B. Lackey, *On the Gauss-Bonnet formula in Riemann-Finsler geometry.* Bull. London Math. Soc., 34(2002), 329-340.

[11] A. Lichnerowich, *Quelques théorèmes de géométrie différentielle globale.* Comm. Math. Helv., 22(1949), 271-301

[12] V. Mathai and D. Quillen, *Superconnections, Thom classes and equivariant differential forms.* Topology, 25(1986), 85-110.

[13] D. Quillen, *Superconnections and the Chern character.* Topology, 24(1985), 89-65.

[14] Y. Shen, *Some Problems in Riemann-Finsler Geometry* (in Chinese). SCIENCE CHINA: Mathematics, 49(5)(2015), 1-8.

[15] Z. Shen, *Some formulas of Gauss-Bonnet-Chern type in Riemann-Finsler geometry.* Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 475(1996), 149-165.

[16] Z. Shen, *A Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula for Finsler manifolds.* preprint, 1996.

[17] Y. Yu, *Index Theorem and Heat Equation Method.* World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2001.

[18] W. Zhao, *A simple proof of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula for Finsler manifolds.* arXiv:1303.1918v3, 2013.

[19] W. Zhang , *Lectures on Chern-Weil Theory and Witten Deformations.* Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 4, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2001.

Huitao Feng: Chern Institute of Mathematics & LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

E-mail address: fht@nankai.edu.cn

Ming Li: School of Science, Chongqing University of Technology, Chongqing 400054, P. R. China

E-mail address: mingli@cqut.edu.cn